

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: NAKANO et al.
Application No.: 10/660,710
Filed: 9/12/2003
Title: ELECTRIC CAMERA
Art Unit: 2622
Exr.: NGUYEN, LUONG TRUNG
CONF. No.: 7646

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner For Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

May 13, 2011

Sir/Madam:

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action dated March 1, 2011. In the office action, claims 11 and 17-21 were rejected on the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-6 of Nakano et al. (U.S. Patent 7,403,226, "Nakano") in view of Kijima et al. (U.S. Patent 6,661,451, "Kijima").

A Terminal Disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(c) has been filed along with this Request for Reconsideration, in order to overcome an actual rejection based on a non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting ground. The Terminal Disclaimer indicates that the conflicting Nakano patent (U.S. Patent 7,403,226) is commonly owned with the present application.

At entry of this paper, claims 11 and 17-21 remain pending for further consideration and examination in the application. All rejections are traversed, in so